

Name_____ Tract_____ Field_____

Date_____

Local Ranking Criteria for 2003 EQIP – Fulton County, Indiana

Erosion: Is soil quality impacted negatively by excessive erosion on the land offered for contract?

If yes, proceed. If no, rank the land under one of the other concerns.

1. Do soils -as measured from the published soil survey of Fulton County- with “I” value of 134 or higher FOTG Sec II-iii-A(1) make up one third or more of the acres offered for contract?
2. Do soils of HEL classification of 2 or 1 (FOTG Section II-iii-N) make up one third or more – as measured from the published soil survey of Fulton County- of the acres offered for contract?
3. Is the land offered for contract within the watershed of the Tippecanoe River or Eel River?

2 yes = high ranking 1 yes = medium 0 hits = low ranking

Livestock: Is water quality impacted negatively because of animal production activities on the land being offered for contract?

If yes, proceed. If no, rank the land under one of the other concerns.

1. On acres offered for contract, is manure applied without soil testing to determine manure rates needed for crop production?
2. Is manure surface applied to land offered for contract?
3. Do livestock on the land offered for contract have access to open water?
4. Are the acres offered for contract within the shaded areas of map 3a?

3 yes = high ranking 2 yes = medium ranking 1 yes = low ranking

Forestry Management: Is forest quality impacted negatively on the land being offered for contract or is cropland being converted to woodland on the land offered for contract?

If yes, proceed. If no, rank under one of the other concerns.

1. Are the acres offered for contract within the shaded areas on map 1f?
2. Are the acres offered for contract within the shaded areas on map 2f?
3. Will trees be planted and /or will timber stand improvement be implemented?
4. Do livestock have access to the wooded acreage offered for contract?

3 yes = high ranking 2 yes = medium ranking 1 yes = low ranking

Water Quality: Is water quality impacted negatively by agricultural activities on the land offered for contract?

If yes, proceed. If no, rank the land under one of the other concerns.

1. Are the acres offered for contract located within the shaded area of map 1w?
2. Are the acres offered for contract located within the shaded area of map 2w?
3. Are the acres offered for contract located within the shaded area of map 3w?
4. Are the acres offered for contract located within the shaded area of map 4w?
5. Does the acreage offered for contract receive animal manure for plant nutrient?

3 yes = high ranking 2 yes = medium ranking 1 yes = low ranking